Falklands/Malvinas NC

P. Pearce: Objective blow against imperialism,
Task Force sent, strengthen anti-colonial struggle
elsewhere.

Alan C.: Need to take part in anti-war movement outside
differences here. Start from hatred of British imperialism.
Issue beyond short-term political-economic interests
etc. interests secondary. Seen as continuing national
in Argentina. Is it really that? Can't say "what's really behind
it is..." Not happy about victory to Arg., but react to
Arg.

Ian McC.: Not to Argentina carried out nationalization.
Differentiation in 3rd. world, major exporter of
industrial goods. Argentina investments in Latin
America, aggression, attempt to intervene.
Argentina's anti-imperialism purely reactive.
Arg. LMI: Peronist domination.

Andrew: Snez! US role. Not just categories. AC said
gen. Arg. claim right. Red Comm. have right to say
how want to live it doesn't get other oppression,
use m. A. cost to u. c. tells nigh to oppress or further fast.
Of Turkey etc. 1914.

Bas: Not adopted social-chauvinist position,
new Arge. reflect emotional response. Argentina is imperialist
type of state. No Chinese wall separated semi-colonial
trip country, internationalization of capital;
used by Brazil. Argentina to deprive Britain of
overall influence; Canada; 90% of industry owned
by US but still imperialist country. Guatemala and Belize. Open
all sorts of questions; Peronism! Empowered W. C.'s
movement into nationalism,

Ali: Turkish left discussed same issues for Turkey.
such countries were integrated with imperialism.
Faisal to support at start.
Argentina not an imperialist country.
Major change since 2nd April: escalation. No longer Q. of F.I.S.
S-a Fornet islanders can be used means for imperialism to extend influence over LA.

Cost: Not prepared to argue for V for Arg. in L.M.
US doesn't want to continue.

B. Cullen: major defeat for U.K. if Brit. regains Falkland.

F.W.: 3 pence. Some say invasion was correct. For Arg.
W.C.: choice between critical support vs oppn to invasion. The term is ambiguous, crucial argument:
what we say to others in Britain—no difference. But in
Argentina: best article pre-invasion: Must organise
against it. U.K.: 2 pence in Reagan C.H.E.

Marc.: Diff. with U.K. ! on 5/4 argue for 65 to go ahead.
If Arg. won, would be anti-imp. Fervent US-S.U.S.
Sided with U.K. ! worried about Cabrillo, etc. S-D
red baring from start.

Gerry: Defeat for U.K. ≠ rect. for Argentina. Leaves U.K.
class action.

Rachel: S-D's no longer an issue. To make issue now
A to justify prolonging war. Nationalism; 64 years
to Arg., victory in World Cup.

Sean: send's position, sectarian. Isolate anyone in
Argentina. Not that we're not defeated.!
Arg. is cl. contested. To say defeated Arg. is not
saying defeated claim to F.I.S. S-D. Still say invasion
not advanced anti-imp. struggle.

Is it a war? Yes. Sean says clause in T.I.C. never be
implemented; a cynical exercise.
Nature of it? Still dependent on primary products
but oil. Side with non-imp. power
Caribl? There we cause of weakness of capitalist
class.