

Falklands/Malvinas NC

P. Black: Objective blow against imperialism!

Task Force sent. Strengthen anti-colonial struggle elsewhere.

Alan C.: Need to take part in anti-war movement override differences here. Start from hatred of British imperialism. Issue beyond short-term political/economic issues. Ec. interests secondary. Seen as continuing nat. rev. in Argentina. Is it really that? Can't say "what's really behind it is..." Not happy abt. "victory to Argⁿ" but "vet to Arg."

Jaume C.: Not \equiv to Vargas! Carried out nationalisation. Differentiation in 3rd. Wld! Major exporter of industrial goods. Argentina investments in Latin America, aggression, attempt to intervene. Argentina's anti-imperialism purely ~~not~~ rhetorical. Arg. LM: Peronist domination.

Andrew: Suez: US role. Not just categories. AC said gen. Arg. claim right. Fls: Comm. have right to say how want to live if doesn't \rightarrow other oppression. Use in A. cost to w.c. + els wish to oppress or further fuel. Cf Turkey pre 1914.

Bas: Not adopted social-chauvinist position. New Arge. reflect emotional response. Argentina is imperialist type of state. No Chinese wall separates semi-colonial + imp. country! internationalisation of capital. WW2 used by Brazil + Argentina to deprive Britain of overall influence. Canada: 90% of industry owned by US but still imperialist country. Guatemala + Belize. Open all sorts of questions. Peronism: compressed w.c. movement into nationalism.

Ali: Turkish left discussed some issues for Turkey. Such countries were integrated with imperialism. Right to support at start.

Argentina not an imperialist country.

Major change since 2nd April: escalation. No longer Q. of FIS S-d for not islanders can become means for imperialism to extend influence over LA.

Corb: Not prepared to argue for V for Arg. in LM

US doesn't want to continue

B. Cullen: major defeat for mt. w. cl. if Britain regains Falklands.

Juan D: 3 posus: Some say invasion was correct. For Arg. w. cl. choice between critical support vs opp'n to invasion. TILC term is ambiguous. crucial argument: what we say to wks. in Britain - no difference. But in Argentina: ASTI article pre-invasion: must organise against it. IMG: 2 posus in Reagan CTR.

mick L: Diff. with IMG: on 5/4 argue for GS to go ahead. If Arg. won, wd. be anti-imp. fervour vs US imperialism sided with UK. T. worried about Gibraltar etc. S-D red herring from start.

Gerry: Defeat for UK ≠ vict. for Argentina. leaves out class action.

Rachel: S-D is no longer an issue. To make issue now to justify prolonging war. Nationalism: 64 years ago. Arg. victory in World Cup.

Seamus: Sean's position sectarian. Isolate anyone in Argentina. Not that we're not defeated. Arg. w. cl. conscripted. To say defend Arg. not ≠ saying defend claim to FIS. shd. still say invasion not advanced anti-imp. struggle.

Is it a war? Yes. Sean says clause in TILC never be implemented: a cynical exercise.

Nature of A? still dependent on primary products but oil. side with non-imp. power

Galteri? Here because of weakness of capitalist class.