1) Page 3: insert after para 2:
"The mass movement which effectively brought down the Labour government created the conditions for the emergence of a left wing inside the Labour Party which was to be the basis of the democracy campaign."

Page 5: delete para 5 ("Indeed Benn's weaknesses ...")

Page 7: Insert after "Our Work":
"Our task is to build a hard Marxist current in the NQ which is clearly politically defined against the left reformists. This implies not only working in a sensitive way, avoiding "ritual denunciations", but also an adequate and consistent political critique. We will not build a Marxist current in the NQ (and therefore contribute towards building a Marxist party) unless we are adequately defined. We cannot recruit the left on the basis of simply "organising the left". We must give them a political alternative to left reformism if we are to do that. It is also important that we have an alternative to offer them beyond the NQ, particularly our work in the unions and amongst the specially oppressed."

Delete para 6, and insert:
"We organise workers in struggle to go into the NQ and fight for support and on general issues of principle (such as the cuts) demand active support."

Page 9: delete para 5

Page 10: delete top two paras and insert:
"We recognise that the ideal is a high profile paper which can speak our politics both inside the NQ and elsewhere - in the trade unions, the factories and amongst the oppressed. We recognise that it is an advantage to be able to relate directly to, alliterate with our programme and perspectives and our analysis of reformism. Equally we recognised that such a paper would be our most productive approach to left Labour activists - since it could avoid both the danger of restricting our politics to the fight against the right wing, and crude "exposure" techniques which fail to relate to the real movements within reformism.

However it is not possible at the present time, given the importance of being in the NQ and given the conditions inside it to have a paper with that kind of profile. We therefore need a paper which is as open, sharp and clear as possible, taking NO rules into account and relating to all areas of work.

In taking that decision we must also recognise that the necessary compromise which this entails contains the danger that such a paper will relate inadequately to areas other than the NQ.

The effects of this danger are already evident in 8X. The tendency is for NO coverage to be more thought through, more analytical and more methodical than 8X coverage. More effort at the core of the organisation goes into analysis of current NO developments (although the conclusions may not always be agreed).

These factors were never adequately considered in the rush to discuss the possibility of dropping 8X (or keeping it another 6 months) in favour of a possible now, broader paper when the right wing offensive began last autumn.

We should avoid any temptation simply to erect political and organisational barriers which can prevent steps to deepen the dialogue with the left in the NQ and win them to revolutionary politics.

But if the price of our continued political intervention among such
forces is a further dilation of our political line and submergence of our revolutionary organisations. That being both in terms of NG and trade union work will be too heavy. Our contribution as Marxists in the current phase of mass leadership delays and political confusion in the labour movement is to stress the need for mass action - where necessary in confrontation with the Labour bureaucracy - and promote political struggle, not as mere "revolutions of an anonymous 'brown' publication sufficiently vanguard to emerge the observation of the right wing which further.

We should regard the tasks of the broad groups to assess their success or otherwise in carrying out the task of how big in reality is our presence in the N3. How many these groups function? How many recruits have they produced for the N3? How effective is it in hardening and deepening our intervention?

It appears at least in the short time that the complications of seeking to purge militant will probably the Labour NEC, but should they succeed in establishing that precedent, then it could be a short step to other other left groups. Under such conditions we must keep big and maintain no less than our current political profile, resolutely maintaining the debate on the level of policies as well as democracy and the constitution, and prepare for a new combination of NO "legal" and "illegal" work in the event of the paper being presented.

It would be up to our work among the surviving "hard left" periphery on the N3, to stick with us through the witch-hunt. To reach the militants in the UNI and other areas of struggle, here we to reduce ourselves to the collective production of a "legal" press which is not able to carry revolutionary propaganda and develop and argue a serious political criticism of the current of the Labour left. Our relationship with the right-wing we must firmly based on defined common objectives and defined and argued political differences which we take up - with some sensitivity - in the course of our joint struggle against the right-wing.

Appendix to Labour resolution on Ireland - Jones

1) Point 4 after "Catholic minority", add: "and in our organisations which fight in the 3 fields."

2) Address to read: "We support the campaign of the revolutionary movement to drive out British imperialism, while we criticise the nationalist and militarist limitations of the IRA leadership. Within this struggle we continue to fight to link the struggle against partition with an all-Ireland class movement..." It is the struggle to develop a revolutionary socialist leadership of people's with a campaign of solidarity within the British labour movement. We do not see the socialist programme on nationalism to overcome concrete problems in struggles led by petty bourgeoisie nationalists.

3) Add new para 5: "We are for the guarantee of democratic rights, including religious rights, for the Protestant community within a united Ireland, but we are against "Federalism".