For a Workers’ Europe

The government intends to hold an in-out referendum on the UK’s European Union membership. David Cameron is currently attempting to negotiate with other EU leaders to allow the UK government more power at the expense of the EU.

Dressed up in nationalistic rhetoric — opposition to foreign migrants and the demand for “our” right to control “our” affairs — Cameron is fighting for the right of the Tory government, acting on behalf of the capitalist class, to ignore European law and regulations that interfere with profits of British capitalists.

Columnist Iain Martin, writing in the Telegraph on 30 May, complains that Cameron’s shopping list for change in Europe is too vague. Martin advocates Cameron “should at least be looking to scrap anti-competitive social and employment laws that come from Brussels and [try] to win new flexibility for the UK to do its own trade deals.” The Telegraph has the virtue of being plain and clear. Much of the EU legislation the political right in Britain would like to see abolished, it is in the direct interest of workers in Britain to maintain.

No doubt Cameron — unlike many in his own party — would like to see the UK remain in the EU. Cameron wants to avoid the political disruption and economic overheads of withdrawal.

However the Westminster politicians may find it difficult to manage and control the referendum result from above. And it may be that the UK will stumble out of the EU, against their wishes.

The main result of Britain leaving the EU will be a big confidence boost for the political right and the growth of anti-immigrant racism.

The drive against EU membership is being led by poisonous and divisive anti-migrant howling from some of the press. Xenophobia has an appeal: UKIP won 3.8 million votes at the general election largely by playing to fears of foreigners.

Although the precise timing and the wording of the question to be voted on are not yet clear, the political dangers should be obvious. There is already a large constituency — well-funded, with a long tradition in UK politics, that has its own political voices and access to the media — which is loudly and crudely attacking migrants’ rights and using nationalism to try to pull the UK out of Europe.

In the run-up to this referendum there will be a further poisoning of British politics.

In an in-out referendum Workers’ Liberty will vote to keep the UK in the EU. We will do so for reasons similar to those that motivated our call to Scottish workers to vote against independence.

In general, we are in favour of fewer and weaker borders and barriers between peoples.

But if the question in the referendum had been, for example, a vote on an EU economic treaty, we would probably have advocated abstention. It is not our job to choose between different methods of exploiting workers.

But the issue now is about strengthening borders and hostile attitudes towards other peoples; pulling the UK out of the EU will do both. It runs in the opposite direction to the creation of a federal Europe, which we favour.

The European ruling classes have pulled Europe together, substantially integrating Europe economically and politically. By doing so they have opened up the possibility of the workers’ movement learning from those of the capitalist class — they have also expanded the possibilities for Europe-wide workers’ unity. We could add many qualifications — the expansion of bureaucracy, the capitalist nature of the process of integration — nevertheless European integration is historically progressive.

To try to break up the process of integration is as regressive as trying to turn the internet off because it is run by capitalist companies, or attempting to abolish parliaments without bothering to see that bourgeois democracy is replaced with something better.

Unfortunately, some of socialist left, influenced by nationalism and Stalinism, will advocate withdrawal. They will say a blow to the EU is a blow against capitalist exploitation and imperialism. But, in our view, trying to turn capitalist Europe into an integrated Europe is and not is in the interests of the working class. Socialists are not simply anti-capitalist — we have a positive programme which we fight for, and which includes European unity.

The people who will gain from UK withdrawal are the racists who hate migrants. It makes no sense for the left to vote with UKIP and the Tory right for withdrawal, pretending we are doing so to fight racism and nationalism. That would be ridiculous.

And some of the left will flounder about in confusion wishing the question was different and trying to avoid the issue of EU membership by stressing their opposition to racism and UKIP (reasonable of course, but limited and without political traction).

We advocate the left forms a united campaign with the following aims:

• To defend migrants’ rights and oppose racism
• To vote against British withdrawal from the EU
• To fight for a workers’ Europe, based on working class solidarity

We advocate that the left unite to fight for these aims and campaign for these ideas inside the workers’ movement. And, in addition, we suggest that the labour movement learn one more lesson from the Scottish referendum debacle: that the unions and Labour Party must not join a cross-class alliance with pro-EU Tories and others. Such a bloc discounted the labour movement during the Scottish campaign. We are for debate on this issue and welcome discussion with others on the left and in the labour movement on the way forward.

The European Economic and Social Committee has called for a clear call for Unison to campaign to stay in the EU during a referendum on UK membership of the European Union. The committee said the Tories “should at least be looking to scrap anti-competitive social and employment laws that come from Brussels and [try] to win new flexibility for the UK to do its own trade deals.”

The EESC has called for the government to make a clear commitment to the continued development of the European Union and the EESC has called for a clear call for Unison to campaign to stay in the EU during a referendum on UK membership of the European Union.
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Fight to save the NHS

Less than a month since the election and the assault on the NHS has begun. Quently, with policy announcements that barely reached the newspapers, the Tories have set about destroying the NHS. Their first target is safe staffing.

On 3rd June NHS Chief Executive (pro-privatisation, ex-UnitedHealth boss) Simon Stevens admitted to Health Service Journal that his “collective action” on “rip off” agencies will “undoubtedly” create staffing shortfalls. On the same day NICE announced it was suspending all work on safer staffing.

The dependency on the NHS agency staff is a result of year’s understaffing and real terms pay cuts. In March 2014 the NHS employed 371,191 qualified nursing staff. At the same time, the Nursing and Midwifery Council had 680,858 active registrants. Hundreds of thousands of nurses have left secure NHS employment in favour of joining an agency. There is no shortage of nurses. There is a shortage of nurses willing to work for a pittance!

These nurses have dealt with the problem of low pay as isolated individuals. As a movement we have failed to convince them of the necessity of fighting for and possibility of winning a collective struggle against the government. After 5 years of pay freezes, finally our leadership calls action on pay but only to raise the “oh-so-reasonable” demand of a 1% pay rise coupled with the mendacious 4 hour walk-outs. From the demonstrative effectiveness of collective action, the leadership seem more committed to further demonising an already brow-beaten workforce.

But while healthworkers struggle to organise more than a flimsy protest strike, the bosses are pushing ahead with their own hard-hitting collective action. The government (which is pro-market in every other area of public life) is taking about setting a cap on the amount NHS employers can spend on agency workers. By their own admission this will probably lead to dangerously understaffed wards.

It is no coincidence therefore that in the same breath, Stevens called on Chief Nurse (and government yes-woman) Jane Cummings to develop a new plan.
How do we build a rank file?

In the aftermath of the Unison Local Government Special Conference, union activists are rightly discussing where next, how can we build on this policy victory and make it a victory for low paid workers in our re-opened pay battle. In this contribution Ed Whitby discusses how we continue to reclaim not just this pay battle, but also the structures of our union from the workplaces and branches upwards.

The momentum for last month’s Special Conference came from the anger of many members across the country, but significantly in Unison’s largest region, where density is highest and strikes most solid, this anger, and the desire to hold the Unison leadership to account, was strongest. This region is also one of the worst-hit by government cuts.

This mood is in many ways a culmination of successive failures: the 2011 pension battle, the false starts and eventual capitulation in the 2013, 2014, and 2015 pay campaigns, and the failure to lead a serious fight against five years of severe cuts in local government. Those campaigns failed, in part, because of the huge democratic deficit inside Unison. Campaigns are “led” (although, in fact, not led) from above, with the membership treated as a passive stage army to be marched up the hill of one-day strikes, and then demobilised when the union leadership decides the membership has exhausted its will to fight. That conservatism and defeatism is projected back onto the membership itself, who then feel too demoralised to do anything other than vote for shoddy deals, and the employers win.

The decision of the Special Conference to resume the 2015/2016 pay fight is a huge victory, but it does not, by itself, redress that fundamental power imbalance within the union. It does not create rank and file; it does not erase the very real demoralisation (more-or-less engineered by the leadership) that still exists amongst much of the membership.

Some left-led branches, with the powerful North West Region acting as a lever, have been able to coordinate and achieve something significant on structures. But what happens next? What happens in Northern Region, in Wales, in the South East Region, where those wanting to carry out the policy of the Special Conference are in smaller numbers and have less influence in branches or regions?

How do we win the fight to open up and demoralise branches and regions? How do we transform our union structures – not winning more changes of personnel on national committees, but fundamental transformation of the way our union is run? At present, the far left in Unison seems unable to think beyond national committees. But having left-wingers on national committees is of limited use if there are no rank-and-file structures to discuss what they do, and to hold them to account.

The frequent incomptence of the existing bureaucracy should fool us into thinking that all we need to do is win a few more seats on the NEC, or the SGEs, or win a few more policy debates at NDC, to turn things round. The experiences of “left-led” unions like PCS and NUT, who have suffered heavy defeats with similar failure to organise and coordinate, should give us pause. There’s more to winning reform in unions than electing better people to committees.

A promising start – but we have to build strength in our branches

Genuine rank-and-fileism means, fundamentally, union members being self-organised in strong branches, with as little distance between the structures of the union and the workplace as possible. It means transforming union structures to get rid of the corps of highly-paid, unelected, unaccountable officials and ensure that all union officials who have any direct role in the day-to-day running of the union are elected and paid no more than an average workers’ wage. In the immediate term, before winning such reforms, it means strong, militant branches organising horizontally, not “outside of union structures”, but in grassroots networks within the union that can discuss and plan strategies for action. Building rank and file organisation is key but is not counter to using official structures. A decent rank and file organisation would fight for good policy at every level, stand accountable reps and would fundamentally transform the union because it would have the ability to argue for and in practice carry out those policies.

The Local Association National Action Campaign (LANAC) in the National Union of Teachers is a useful model. LANAC is based on union structures, and is made up of delegates from affiliated NUT branches (with observers from NUT workplace groups). As such, it aims to transform both the culture and structures of the union by fighting for democratic reform and more radical industrial strategies. It is a quite different model from the moribund “Broad Left”-type approach, which merely seeks to cohere left-wing individuals to intervene in union elections or conference policy debates.

The victory at the Special Conference shows that battles of policy can be won within union structures. But while those structures remain under the control of the same bureaucratic that sabotaged the pay fight in the first place, independent rank-and-file organisation (beginning at branch level) will still be necessary.

Getting our priorities right

Workers Liberty delegates will support efforts for these motions to be reprioritised and discussed by conference.

Motion 106 from Lambeth calls on the union to devolve further water resources responsibilities. As branches take on increased workloads and are required to do more, this would help to redress the balance.

Motion 77 from Community and Voluntary Organisations calls for support to the Greek fight against austerity. Read more about our view here:http://bit.ly/1GJ51Lo

After the election - Regroup and Fightback

Over the five years of the coalition government, the labour movement failed to mount any consistent fight against it. Had the New Labour and the Labour Party not responded to that fight, we might be looking at a different result next time.

There has been working-class resistance, of course. Some local industrial battles have won gains, such as the 3 Cosas campaign at the University of London, and inspiring social struggles such as those of the Focus E15 mothers have emerged and won victories. But these have been the exceptions, not the rule.

The first step to regrouping and rebuilding, then, is to make our movement fight. No more token one-day strikes, launched too late to make a difference and then unilaterally suspended. No more “materia militancy”, promising the next battle will be fought full tilt, but surrendering on today’s.

The Labour Party is in political crisis. That is a tumult into which organised labour and the socialist left must intervene and assert ourselves. Abandoning the terrain to the Blairites, without even attempting to shape the outcome of that crisis, would be disastrous. An anti austerity candidacy like Jeremy Corbyn’s is important to having that fight. The labour movement should demand union sponsored MPs ensure he is on the ballot.

Faced with a genuine campaign of combative union self-assertion, an end against the Labour Party, the Blairites may not come to sever (or reform out of existence) the link with the unions sooner than the 2019 deadline for the implementations of the recommendations of the Collins Review.

Forcing a break on the momentum of such a fight would be vastly preferable to unions hiving off, one by one, in demoralisation and despair.

The project of the 2010-2015 coalition government to use the economic crisis that began in 2007 to screw down social costs for the ruling class, and to remake society along more rigidly neo-liberal, market-driven, and privatised lines. The Tories have had a clear, definitive plan.

To counter these attacks we need clear demands like:

- Repeal the anti-union laws;
- Public ownership of industry; genuine democratic social control, not bureaucratic nationalisation;
- Living wages and living benefits;
- A mass programme of social housing construction;
- Free education; democratic schools, colleges, and universities;
- Reverse cuts and privatisation in the NHS and other public services;
- End the scapegoating of migrants; resist right-wing pressure to leave our “political asylum”.

- Democratic reform; abolish the monarchy and the House of Lords; for a democratic federal republic of England, Scotland, Wales (loosely federated with a united Ireland).

In the bleak aftermath of the election, in a moment when our movement is weak, disorganised, and misled, and at a time when the ideas of revolutionary-democratic socialism seem utterly marginal, that working-class fightback can seem very distant. But a fightback will occur. How successfully it is depends on the extent to which socialists in the labour movement manage to affect the regroupment.

The attempt to do that begins now, with each of us recommitting to our basic task: to agitate, educate, and organise for socialism.

Ideas For Freedom - Imagining The Future

3-5 July

Tickets before 2nd July £6 unwaged/school student /£18 low waged/student £35 waged

A festival of socialist ideas and discussion organised by Workers’ Liberty

Technology - The end of work? / Climate Change: Future of energy / Thomas More's Utopia / If workers ran the railways / Secularism, Feminism & Socialism / Workers Liberty Debates a Bishop / Walking with Sylvia Pankhurst

Birkbeck College, London

www.workersliberty.org/ideas

@ideas4freedom #IF15 bit.ly/ITF2015